A Counterexample to Thiagarajan's Conjecture on Regular Event Structures

Jérémie Chalopin and Victor Chepoi

Laboratoire d'Informatique Fondamentale, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS,
Faculté des Sciences de Luminy, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
{jeremie.chalopin, victor.chepoi}@lif.univ-mrs.fr

arXiv:1605.08288v2 [cs.FL] 27 Oct 2016

Abstract. We provide a counterexample to a conjecture by Thiagarajan (1996 and 2002) that regular prime event structures correspond exactly to finite 1-safe Petri nets. The same counterexample is used to disprove a closely related conjecture by Badouel, Darondeau, and Raoult (1999) that domains of regular event structures with bounded $\natural$-cliques are recognizable by finite trace automata. Event structures, trace automata, and Petri nets are fundamental models in concurrency theory. There exist nice interpretations of these structures as combinatorial and geometric objects and both conjectures can be reformulated in this framework. Namely, from a graph theoretical point of view, the domains of prime event structures correspond exactly to median graphs; from a geometric point of view, these domains are in bijection with CAT(0) cube complexes.

A necessary condition for both conjectures to be true is that domains of respective regular event structures admit a regular nice labeling (which corresponds to a special coloring of the hyperplanes of the associated CAT(0) cube complex). To disprove these conjectures, we describe a regular event domain (with bounded $\natural$-cliques) that does not admit a regular nice labeling. Our counterexample is derived from an example by Wise (1996 and 2007) of a nonpositively curved square complex $\mathbf{X}$ with six squares, whose edges are colored in five colors, and whose universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is a CAT(0) square complex containing a particular plane with an aperiodic tiling.

1. Introduction

Event structures introduced by Nielsen, Plotkin, and Winskel [28, 40, 42] is a widely recognized abstract model of concurrent computation. An event structure (or more precisely, a prime event structure or an event structure with binary conflict) is a partially ordered set of the occurrences of actions, called events, together with a conflict relation. The partial order captures the causal dependency of events. The conflict relation models incompatibility of events so that two events that are in conflict cannot simultaneously occur in any state of the computation. Consequently, two events that are neither ordered nor in conflict may occur concurrently. More formally, an event structure is a triple $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$, consisting of a set E of events, and two binary relations $\leq$ and $\#$, the causal dependency $\leq$ and the conflict relation $\#$ with the requirement that the conflict is inherited by the partial order $\leq$. The pairs of events not in $\leq \cup \geq \cup \#$ define the concurrency relation $\|$. The domain of an event structure consists of all computation states, called configurations. Each computation state is a subset of events subject to the constraints that no two conflicting events can occur together in the same computation and if an event occurred in a computation then all events on which it causally depends have occurred too. Therefore, the domain of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is the set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ of all finite configurations ordered by inclusion. An event e is said to be enabled by a configuration c if $e \notin c$ and $c \cup \{e\}$ is a configuration. The degree of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is the least number of events enabled by a configuration of $\mathcal{E}$. The future (or the filter, or the residual) of a configuration c is the set of all finite configurations c' containing c.

Among other things, the importance of event structures stems from the fact that several fundamental models of concurrent computation lead to event structures. Nielsen, Plotkin, and Winskel [28] proved that every 1-safe Petri net N unfolds into a prime event structure $\mathcal{E}_N$. Later results of [30] and [42] show in fact that 1-safe Petri nets and prime event structures represent each others in a strong sense. In the same vein, Stark [35] established that the domains of configurations of trace automata are exactly the conflict event domains; a presentation of domains of prime event structures as trace monoids (Mazurkiewicz traces) or as asynchronous transition systems was given

in [31] and [9], respectively. In both cases, the events of the resulting event structure are labeled (in the case of trace monoids and trace automata—by the letters of a possibly infinite trace alphabet $M = (\Sigma, I)$) in a such a way that any two events enabled by the same configuration are labeled differently (such a labeling is usually called a nice labeling).

To deal with *finite* 1-safe Petri nets, Thiagarajan [36,37] introduced the notions of regular event structure and regular trace event structure. A regular event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is an event structure with a finite number of isomorphism types of futures of configurations and finite degree. A regular trace event structure is an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ whose events can be nicely labeled by the letters of a trace alphabet $M = (\Sigma, I)$ in a such a way that any two concurrent events define a pair of I and there exists only a finite number of isomorphism types of labeled futures of configurations. These definitions were motivated by the fact that the event structures $\mathcal{E}_N$ arising from *finite* 1-safe Petri nets N are regular: Thiagarajan [36] proved that event structures of *finite* 1-safe Petri nets correspond to regular trace event structures. This lead Thiagarajan to formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1 [36,37]. *A prime event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to the event structure $\mathcal{E}_N$ arising from a finite 1-safe Petri net N if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is regular.*

For finite trace automata, a quite similar conjecture was independently raised by Badouel, Darondeau, and Raoult [4]. They called a conflict event domain recognizable if it is isomorphic to the domain of configurations of some finite trace automaton and conjectured:

Conjecture 2 [4]. *A conflict event domain is recognizable if and only if the event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is regular and has bounded $\natural$-cliques. A domain of a prime event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is recognizable if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is regular.*

In view of previous results, to establish Conjecture 1, it is necessary for a regular event structure $\mathcal{E}$ to define a regular nice labeling with letters from some trace alphabet (Σ, I). Nielsen and Thiagarajan [29] proved in a technically involved but very nice combinatorial way that all regular conflict-free event structures satisfy Conjecture 1. In a equally difficult and technical proof, Badouel et al. [4] established Conjecture 2 for context-free event domains, i.e., for domains whose underlying graph is a context-free graph sensu Müller and Schupp [27]. In this paper, we present a counterexample to Thiagarajan's Conjecture 1 based on a more geometric and combinatorial view on prime event structures. We show that our example also provides a counterexample to the Conjecture 2 of Badouel, Darondeau, and Raoult.

There exists a striking bijection between the domains of prime event structures, median graphs, and CAT(0) cube complexes. Median graphs and related median structures (median algebras and median semilattices) have many nice properties and admit numerous characterizations. These structures have been investigated in several contexts by quite a number of authors for more than half a century, and play a central role in metric graph theory; for more detailed information, the interested reader can consult the surveys [5, 6]. On the other hand, the CAT(0) cube complexes are central objects in geometric group theory [33, 45]. They have been characterized in a nice combinatorial way by Gromov [21] as simply connected cube complexes in which the links of 0-cubes are simplicial flag complexes. Subsequently, Sageev [33] introduced and investigated the concept of (combinatorial) hyperplanes of CAT(0) cube complexes, showing in particular that each hyperplane partitions the complex into two CAT(0) cube complexes. It was proven in [13, 32] that 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes are exactly the median graphs. Generalizing Birkhoff's duality between distributive lattices and ordered sets, Barthélemy and Constantin [8] proved that the Hasse diagrams graphs of domains of prime event structures are median graphs and every pointed median graph (viewed as a median semilattice) is the domain of an event structure. The bijection between median semilattices and prime event domains established in [8] can be viewed as the classical characterization of prime event domains as prime algebraic coherent partial orders

provided by Nielsen, Plotkin, and Winskel [28]. More recently, this result was rediscovered in [1] in the language of CAT(0) cube complexes. Via these bijections, the events of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ correspond to the parallelism classes of edges of the domain $D(\mathcal{E})$ viewed as a median graph and to the hyperplanes of the associated CAT(0) cube complex.

Our counter-example is based on Wise's [43,44] nonpositively curved square complex $\mathbf{X}$ with one vertex and six squares, whose edges are colored in five colors, and whose colored universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ contains a particular plane with an aperiodic tiling. The edges of $\mathbf{X}$ are partitioned into two classes (horizontal and vertical edges) and opposite edges of squares are oriented in the same way. As a result, $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is a CAT(0) square complex whose edges are colored by the colors of their images in $\mathbf{X}$ and are directed in such a way that all edges dual to the same hyperplane are oriented in the same way. With respect to this orientation, all vertices of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ are equivalent up to automorphism. On the other hand, the support $\widetilde{X}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$, obtained by forgetting the colors and the directions of the edges of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$, is the Cartesian product of two trees. We modify the complex $\mathbf{X}$ by taking its first barycentric subdivision and by adding to the middles of the edges of $\mathbf{X}$ directed paths of five different lengths (tips) in order to encode the colors of the edges of $\mathbf{X}$ (and $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$) and to obtain a nonpositively curved square complex W. The universal cover $\widetilde{W}$ of W is a directed (but no longer colored) CAT(0) square complex. $\widetilde{W}$ can be viewed as a square complex which is the Cartesian product of two trees in which to each vertex arising from a middle of an edge of $\mathbf{X}$ a tip encoding the color of the original edge is added. Since $\widetilde{W}$ is the universal cover of a finite complex W, $\widetilde{W}$ has a finite number of equivalence classes of vertices up to automorphism. From $\widetilde{W}$ we derive a domain of a regular prime event structure $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ by considering the future of an arbitrary vertex $\widetilde{v}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$. Using the fact that $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ contains a particular plane with an aperiodic tiling, we prove that $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ does not admit a regular nice labeling, thus $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ is the domain of a regular prime event structure not having a regular trace labeling.

2. Event structures

2.1. **Event structures and domains.** From now on and until Section 6, by an "event structure" we will mean a "prime event structure". An *event structure* is a triple $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$, where

- E is a set of *events*,
- $\leq \subseteq E \times E$ is a partial order of *causal dependency*,
- $\# \subseteq E \times E$ is a binary, irreflexive, symmetric relation of *conflict*,
- $\downarrow e := \{e' \in E : e' \leq e\}$ is finite for any $e \in E$,
- $e\#e'$ and $e' \leq e''$ imply $e\#e''$.

What we call here an event structure is usually called a *coherent event structure*, an *event structure with a binary conflict*, or a *prime event structure*. Two events e', e'' are *concurrent* (notation $e' \| e''$) if they are order-incomparable and they are not in conflict. Let e' and e'' be two elements in conflict. This conflict $e'\#e''$ is said to be *minimal* (notation, $e'\#_\mu e''$) if there is no event $e \neq e', e''$ such that either $e \leq e'$ and $e\#e''$ or $e \leq e''$ and $e\#e'$. Also define the binary relation $\lessdot \subseteq E \times E$ as follows: set $e \lessdot e'$ if and only if $e \leq e', e \neq e'$, and for every e'' if $e \leq e'' \leq e'$, then $e'' = e$ or $e'' = e'$.

Given two event structures $\mathcal{E}_1 = (E_1, \leq_1, \#_1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = (E_2, \leq_2, \#_2)$, a map $f : E_1 \to E_2$ is an isomorphism if f is a bijection such that $e \leq_1 e'$ iff $f(e_1) \leq_2 f(e')$ and $e\#_1 e'$ iff $f(e_1)\#_2 f(e')$ for every $e, e' \in E_1$. If such an isomorphism exists, then $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ are said to be isomorphic; notation $\mathcal{E}_1 \equiv \mathcal{E}_2$.

A *labeled event structure* $\mathcal{E}^\phi = (\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ is defined by an *underlying event structure* $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$ and a *labeling* λ that is a map from E to some alphabet Σ. Two labeled event structures $\mathcal{E}_1^\phi = (\mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_1)$ and $\mathcal{E}_2^\phi = (\mathcal{E}_2, \lambda_2)$ are isomorphic (notation $\mathcal{E}_1^\phi \equiv \mathcal{E}_2^\phi$) if there exists an isomorphism f between the underlying event structures $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ such that $\lambda_2(f(e_1)) = \lambda_1(e_1)$ for every $e_1 \in E_1$.

A *configuration* of an event structure $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$ is any finite subset $c \subset E$ of events which is *conflict-free* ($e, e' \in c$ implies that e, e' are not in conflict) and *downward-closed* ($e \in c$ and $e' \leq e$ implies that $e' \in c$) [42]. Notice that $\varnothing$ is always a configuration and that $\downarrow e$ and $\downarrow e \setminus \{e\}$ are configurations for any $e \in E$. The *domain* of an event structure is the set $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ of all configurations of $\mathcal{E}$ ordered by inclusion; (c', c) is a (directed) edge of the Hasse diagram of the poset $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}), \subseteq)$ if and only if $c = c' \cup \{e\}$ for an event $e \in E \setminus c$. An event e is said to be *enabled* by a configuration c if $e \notin c$ and $c \cup \{e\}$ is a configuration. Denote by $en(c)$ the set of all events enabled at the configuration c. Two events are called *co-initial* if they are both enabled at some configuration c. Note that if e and e' are co-initial, then either $e \#_\mu e'$ or $e \| e'$. It is easy to see that two events e and e' are in minimal conflict $e \#_\mu e'$ if and only if $e \# e'$ and e and e' are co-initial. The *degree* $\deg(\mathcal{E})$ of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is the least positive integer d such that $|en(c)| \leq d$ for any configuration c of $\mathcal{E}$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ has *finite degree* if $\deg(\mathcal{E})$ is finite. The *future* (or the *residual*) $\mathcal{F}(c)$ of a configuration c is the set of all configurations c' containing c: $\mathcal{F}(c) = \uparrow c := \{c' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) : c \subseteq c'\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(c)$ is the principal filter of c in the ordered set $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}), \subseteq)$.

A labeling $\lambda : E \to \Lambda$ of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is called a *nice labeling* if any two events that are co-initial have different labels [31]. A nice labeling of $\mathcal{E}$ can be reformulated as a coloring of the directed edges of the Hasse diagram of its domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ subject to the following local conditions:

Determinism: the edges outgoing from the same vertex of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ have different colors;
Concurrency: the opposite edges of each square of the Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ are colored in the same color.

2.2. **Regular event structures.** In this subsection, we recall the definitions of regular event structures, regular trace event structures, and regular nice labelings of event structures. We closely follow the definitions and notations of [29, 36, 37]. Let $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$ be an event structure. Let c be a configuration of $\mathcal{E}$. Set $\#(c) = \{e' : \exists e \in c, e \# e'\}$. The *event structure rooted at* c is defined to be the triple $\mathcal{E}\backslash c = (E', \leq', \#')$, where $E' = E \setminus (c \cup \#(c))$, $\leq'$ is $\leq$ restricted to $E' \times E'$, and $\#'$ is $\#$ restricted to $E' \times E'$. It can be easily seen that the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}\backslash c)$ of the event structure $\mathcal{E}\backslash c$ is isomorphic to the filter $\mathcal{F}(c)$ of c in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ such that any configuration c' of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ corresponds to the configuration $c' \setminus c$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}\backslash c)$.

For an event structure $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$, define the equivalence relation $R_\mathcal{E}$ on its configurations in the following way: for two configurations c and c' set $cR_\mathcal{E}c'$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}\backslash c \equiv \mathcal{E}\backslash c'$. The *index* of an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is the number of equivalence classes of $R_\mathcal{E}$, i.e., the number of isomorphism types of futures of configurations of $\mathcal{E}$. The event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is *regular* [29, 36, 37] if $\mathcal{E}$ has finite index and finite degree.

Now, let $\mathcal{E}^\phi = (\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ be a labeled event structure. For any configuration c of $\mathcal{E}$, if we restrict λ to $\mathcal{E}\backslash c$, then we obtain a labeled event structure $(\mathcal{E}\backslash c, \lambda)$ denoted by $\mathcal{E}^\phi\backslash c$. Analogously, define the equivalence relation $R_{\mathcal{E}^\phi}$ on its configurations by setting $cR_{\mathcal{E}^\phi}c'$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}^\phi\backslash c \equiv \mathcal{E}^\phi\backslash c'$. We say that an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ admits a *regular nice labeling* if there exists a nice labeling λ of $\mathcal{E}$ such that the equivalence relation $R_{\mathcal{E}^\phi}$ has finite index, where $\mathcal{E}^\phi = (\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$.

We continue by recalling the definition of regular trace event structures from [36, 37]. A *(Mazurkiewicz) trace alphabet* is a pair $M = (\Sigma, I)$, where Σ is a finite non-empty alphabet set and $I \subset \Sigma \times \Sigma$ is an irreflexive and symmetric relation called the *independence relation*. As usual, Σ^* is the set of finite words with letters in Σ. The independence relation I induces the equivalence relation $\sim_I$, which is the reflexive and transitive closure of the binary relation $\leftrightarrow_I$: *if* $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma^*$ *and* $(a, b) \in I$, *then* $\sigma ab\sigma' \leftrightarrow_I \sigma ba\sigma'$.

The binary relation $D = (\Sigma \times \Sigma) \setminus I$ is called the *dependence relation*. An *M-labeled event structure* is a labeled event structure $\mathcal{E}^\phi = (\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$ is an event structure and $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$ is a labeling function which satisfies the following conditions:

(LES1) $e \#_\mu e'$ implies $\lambda(e) \neq \lambda(e')$,
(LES2) if $e \lessdot e'$ or $e \#_\mu e'$, then $(\lambda(e), \lambda(e')) \in D$,

(LES3) if $(\lambda(e), \lambda(e')) \in D$, then $e \leq e'$ or $e' \leq e$ or $e \# e'$.

We call λ a *trace labeling* of $\mathcal{E}$. The conditions (LES2) and (LES3) on the labeling function ensures that the concurrency relation $\|$ of $\mathcal{E}$ respects the independence relation I of M. In particular, since I is irreflexive, from (LES3) it follows that any two concurrent events are labeled differently. Since by (LES1) two events in minimal conflict are also labeled differently, this implies that λ is a finite nice labeling of $\mathcal{E}$.

An M-labeled event structure $\mathcal{E}^\phi = (\mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ is *regular* if the equivalence relation $R_{\mathcal{E}^\phi}$ has finite index. Finally, an event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is called a *regular trace event structure* [36, 37] iff there exists a trace alphabet $M = (\Sigma, I)$ and a regular M-labeled event structure $\mathcal{E}^\phi$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to the underlying event structure of $\mathcal{E}^\phi$.

From the definition immediately follows that every regular trace event structure is also a regular event structure. It turns out that the converse is equivalent to Conjecture 1. Namely, [37] establishes the following equivalence (this result dispenses us from giving a formal definition of 1-safe Petri nets; the interested readers can find it in the papers [29, 37]):

Theorem 1. *[37, Theorem 1] $\mathcal{E}$ is a regular trace event structure if and only if there exists a finite 1-safe Petri net N such that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_N$ are isomorphic.*

In view of this theorem, Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1′. *$\mathcal{E}$ is a regular event structure if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is a regular trace event structure.*

Since any regular trace labeling is a regular nice labeling, to disprove Conjecture 1′ (and therefore Conjecture 1) it suffices to find a regular event structure not admitting a regular nice labeling. In fact, Morin [25, Section 5] proved that this implication is an equivalence; namely, a regular event structure admits a regular trace labeling if and only if it admits a regular nice labeling.

3. Domains, median graphs, and CAT(0) cube complexes

We recall now the bijections between domains of event structures and median graphs/CAT(0) cube complexes established in [8] and [1], and between median graphs and 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes established in [13] and [32].

3.1. **Median graphs.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple, connected, not necessarily finite graph. The *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v is the length of a shortest (u, v)-path, and the *interval* $I(u, v)$ between u and v consists of all vertices on shortest (u, v)–paths, that is, of all vertices (metrically) *between* u and v:

$$I(u, v) := \{x \in V : d_G(u, x) + d_G(x, v) = d_G(u, v)\}.$$

An induced subgraph of G (or the corresponding vertex set) is called *convex* if it includes the interval of G between any of its vertices. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is *isometrically embeddable* into a graph $H = (W, F)$ if there exists a mapping $\varphi : V \to W$ such that $d_H(\varphi(u), \varphi(v)) = d_G(u, v)$ for all vertices $u, v \in V$.

A graph G is called *median* if the interval intersection $I(x, y) \cap I(y, z) \cap I(z, x)$ is a singleton for each triplet x, y, z of vertices. Median graphs are bipartite. Basic examples of median graphs are trees, hypercubes, rectangular grids, and Hasse diagrams of distributive lattices and of median semilattices [5]. With any vertex v of a median graph $G = (V, E)$ is associated a *canonical partial order* $\leq_v$ defined by setting $x \leq_v y$ if and only if $x \in I(v, y)$; v is called the *basepoint* of $\leq_v$. Since G is bipartite, the Hasse diagram G_v of the partial order $(V, \leq_v)$ is the graph G in which any edge xy is directed from x to y if and only if the inequality $d_G(x, v) < d_G(y, v)$ holds. We call G_v a *pointed median graph*. There is a close relationship between pointed median graphs and median semilattices. A *median semilattice* is a meet semilattice $(P, \leq)$ such that (i) for every x, the *principal ideal* $\downarrow x = \{p \in P : p \leq x\}$ is a distributive lattice, and (ii) any three elements have a least upper bound in P whenever each pair of them does.

Theorem 2. *[3] The Hasse diagram of any median semilattice is a median graph. Conversely, every median graph defines a median semilattice with respect to any canonical order $\leq_v$.*

Median graphs are isometric subgraphs of hypercubes and Cartesian products of trees [7, 26]. The isometric embedding of a median graph G into a (smallest) hypercube coincides with the so-called canonical embedding, which is determined by the *Djoković-Winkler ("parallelism")* relation Θ defined as follows [17, 39]. If uv and xy are edges of G, then $(uv, xy) \in \Theta$ if and only if

$$d_G(u, x) + d_G(v, y) \neq d_G(u, y) + d_G(v, x).$$

Equivalently, Θ is the reflexive and transitive closure of the "opposite" relation: uv and xy are *opposite edges of a 4-cycle* if $uvxy$ is a 4-cycle in G. Any equivalence class of Θ constitutes a cutset of the median graph G, which determines one factor of the canonical hypercube [26]. The cutset (equivalence class) $\Theta(xy)$ containing an edge xy defines a convex split $\{W(x, y), W(y, x)\}$ of G [26], where $W(x, y) = \{z \in V : d_G(z, x) < d_G(z, y)\}$ and $W(y, x) = V \setminus W(x, y)$ (we call the complementary convex sets $W(x, y)$ and $W(y, x)$ *halfspaces*). Conversely, for every convex split of a median graph G there exists at least one edge xy such that $\{W(x, y), W(y, x)\}$ is the given split. We denote by $\{\Theta_i : i \in I\}$ the equivalence classes of the relation Θ (in [8], they were called parallelism classes). For an equivalence class $\Theta_i, i \in I$, we denote by $\{A_i, B_i\}$ the associated convex split. We say that Θ_i *separates* the vertices x and y if $x \in A_i, y \in B_i$ or $x \in B_i, y \in A_i$. The isometric embedding φ of G into a hypercube is obtained by taking a basepoint v, setting $\varphi(v) = \varnothing$ and for any other vertex u, letting $\varphi(u)$ be all parallelism classes of Θ which separate u from v.

We conclude this subsection with the following simple but useful local characterization of convex sets of median graphs (which holds for much more general classes of graphs):

Lemma 1. *Let S be a connected subgraph of a median graph G. Then S is a convex subgraph if and only if S is locally-convex, i.e., $I(x, y) \subseteq S$ for any two vertices x, y of S having a common neighbor in S.*

3.2. **CAT(0) cube complexes.** For $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, a *$d$-cube* is a copy of $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$. A *cube complex* is a CW-complex X whose cells are cubes of various dimensions, attached in the expected way: any two cubes of X that have nonempty intersection intersect in a common face, i.e., the attaching map of each cube restricts to a combinatorial isometry on its faces. The *dimension* $\dim X$ of a cube complex X is the largest value of d for which X contains a d-cube. The 0-cubes and the 1-cubes of X are called *vertices* and *edges* of X. For $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we denote by $X^{(i)}$ the *i-skeleton* of X, i.e., the cube complex consisting of all j-dimensional cubes of X, where $j \leq i$. The *star* of a vertex v in a complex X, denoted $\mathrm{St}(v, X)$, is the subcomplex spanned by all cells containing v. The *link* of a vertex $x \in X$ is the simplicial complex $\mathrm{Link}(x)$ with a $(d-1)$-simplex for each d-cube containing x, with simplices attached according to the attachments of the corresponding cubes. The link $\mathrm{Link}(x)$ is said to be a *flag (simplicial) complex* if each $(d+1)$-clique in $\mathrm{Link}(x)$ spans an d-simplex. This flagness condition of $\mathrm{Link}(x)$ can be restated as follows: whenever three $(k+2)$-cubes of X share a common k-cube containing x and pairwise share common $(k+1)$-cubes, then they are contained in a $(k+3)$–cube of X. A cube complex X is called *simply connected* if it is connected and if every continuous mapping of the 1-dimensional sphere S^1 into X can be extended to a continuous mapping of the disk D^2 with boundary S^1 into X. Note that X is connected iff $G(X) = X^{(1)}$ is connected, and X is simply connected iff $X^{(2)}$ is simply connected.

As morphisms between cube complexes we consider all *cellular maps*, i.e., maps sending (linearly) cells to cells. An *isomorphism* is a bijective cellular map being a linear isomorphism (isometry) on each cell. Given two cube complexes X and Y, a *covering (map)* is a surjection $p\colon Y \to X$ mapping cubes to cubes and such that $p|_{\mathrm{St}(v,Y)}\colon \mathrm{St}(v, Y) \to \mathrm{St}(p(v), X)$ is an isomorphism for every vertex v in Y. The space Y is then called a *covering space* of X. A *universal cover* of X is a simply connected covering space; it always exists and it is unique up to isomorphism [20, Sections 1.3

and 4.1]. The universal cover of a complex X will be denoted by $\widetilde{X}$. In particular, if X is simply connected, then its universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ is X itself.

Now, we recall the definition of CAT(0) spaces. A *geodesic triangle* $\Delta = \Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in a geodesic metric space (X, d) consists of three points in X (the vertices of Δ) and a geodesic between each pair of vertices (the sides of Δ). A *comparison triangle* for $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a triangle $\Delta(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)$ in the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{E}^2$ such that $d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(x'_i, x'_j) = d(x_i, x_j)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. A geodesic metric space (X, d) is defined to be a *CAT(0) space* [21] if all geodesic triangles $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of X satisfy the comparison axiom of Cartan–Alexandrov–Toponogov: *If y is a point on the side of $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with vertices x_1 and x_2 and y' is the unique point on the line segment $[x'_1, x'_2]$ of the comparison triangle $\Delta(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)$ such that $d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(x'_i, y') = d(x_i, y)$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $d(x_3, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{E}^2}(x'_3, y')$.* A geodesic metric space (X, d) is *nonpositively curved* if it is locally CAT(0), i.e., any point has a neighborhood inside which the CAT(0) inequality holds. CAT(0) spaces can be characterized in several different natural ways and have many strong properties, see for example [10]. In particular, a geodesic metric space (X, d) is CAT(0) if and only if (X, d) is simply connected and is nonpositively curved. CAT(0) cube complexes have been characterized by Gromov [21] in an especially nice way:

Theorem 3. *[21] A cube complex X endowed with the ℓ_2-metric is CAT(0) if and only if X is simply connected and the links of all vertices of X are flag complexes. If Y is a cube complex in which the links of all vertices are flag complexes, then the universal cover $\widetilde{Y}$ of Y is a CAT(0) cube complex.*

In view of the second assertion of Theorem 3, the cube complexes in which the links of vertices are flag complexes are called *nonpositively curved complexes* or *NPC-complexes*. We continue with the bijection between CAT(0) cube complexes and median graphs (which also explain the previous notation):

Theorem 4. *[13, 32] Median graphs are exactly the 1-skeleta of CAT(0) cube complexes.*

The proof of Theorem 4 presented in [13] is based on the following local-to-global characterization of median graphs:

Theorem 5. *[13] A graph G is a median graph if and only if its cube complex is simply connected and G satisfies the 3-cube condition: if three squares of G pairwise intersect in an edge and all three intersect in a vertex, then they belong to a 3-cube.*

A *midcube* of the d-cube c, with $d \geq 1$, is the isometric subspace obtained by restricting exactly one of the coordinates of d to 0. Note that a midcube is a $(d-1)$-cube. The midcubes a and b of X are *adjacent* if they have a common face, and a *hyperplane* H of X is a subspace that is a maximal connected union of midcubes such that, if $a, b \subset H$ are midcubes, either a and b are disjoint or they are adjacent. Equivalently, a hyperplane H is a maximal connected union of midcubes such that, for each cube c, either $H \cap c = \emptyset$ or $H \cap c$ is a single midcube of c.

Theorem 6. *[33] Each hyperplane H of a CAT(0) cube complex X is a CAT(0) cube complex of dimension at most* $\dim X - 1$ *and $X \setminus H$ consists of exactly two components, called* halfspaces.

A 1-cube e (an edge) is *dual* to the hyperplane H if the 0-cubes of e lie in distinct halfspaces of H, i.e., if the midpoint of c is in a midcube contained in H. The relation "dual to the same hyperplane" is an equivalence relation on the set of edges of X; denote this relation by Θ and denote by $\Theta(H)$ the equivalence class consisting of 1-cubes dual to the hyperplane H (Θ is precisely the parallelism relation on the edges of the median graph $X^{(1)}$).

3.3. **Domains versus median graphs/CAT(0) cube complexes.** Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 of Barthélemy and Constantin [8] establish the following bijection between event structures and pointed median graphs (in [8], event structures are called sites):

Theorem 7. *[8] The (undirected) Hasse diagram of the domain $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}), \subseteq)$ of any event structure $\mathcal{E} = (E, \leq, \#)$ is a median graph. Conversely, for any median graph G and any basepoint v of G, the pointed median graph G_v is isomorphic to the Hasse diagram of the domain of an event structure.*

The first part of this theorem first establishes that each event domain is a median semilattice (in fact, the conditions (i) and (ii) of a median semilattice are often taken as the definition of a coherent dI-domain, see for example, [4, 41]) and follows from Avann's Theorem 2. The bijection between domains of event structures and median semilattices is equivalent to the bijection between domains of event structures and prime algebraic coherent partial orders established in [28]. With the help of Theorem 5, we can provide an alternative proof of the first part of Theorem 7, which we hope can be of independent interest. Since we will use it further, we also recall the construction of an event structure from a pointed median graph presented in [8].

Proof of Theorem 7. To prove that the square complex of an event domain $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is simply connected one has to show that any cycle σ of the Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{D}$ is 0-homotopic. We proceed by lexicographic induction on the pair $(n_1(\sigma), n_2(\sigma))$, where $n_1(\sigma)$ is the maximum cardinality of a configuration of σ and $n_2(\sigma)$ is the number of configurations (vertices) of σ of size $n_1(\sigma)$. Let c be a configuration of σ of maximum size $n_1(\sigma)$. Then the neighbors c', c'' of c in σ have cardinality $n_1(\sigma) - 1$, say $c' = c \setminus \{e'\}$ and $c'' = c \setminus \{e''\}$ for two different events e' and e''. But then the set $c_0 := c \setminus \{e', e''\}$ is conflict-free and downward closed, thus c_0 is a configuration. As a result, the configurations c, c', c_0, c'' define a square. Let σ' be the cycle obtained obtained from σ by replacing c by c_0. If $n_2(\sigma) > 1$, then $n_1(\sigma') = n_1(\sigma)$ and $n_2(\sigma') = n_2(\sigma) - 1$. If $n_2(\sigma) = 1$, then $n_1(\sigma') = n_1(\sigma) - 1$. In both cases, by induction hypothesis we may assume that σ' is 0-homotopic. Since there exists an elementary homotopy from σ to σ' via the square $cc'c_0c''$, the cycle σ is also 0-homotopic. To show that the graph of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the 3-cube condition, one can see that there exist four possible embeddings of the three squares in $\mathcal{D}$. In each of these cases one can directly conclude that the vertex v completing them to a 3-cube must be a configuration (see Figure 1). Indeed, in the first three cases, the set $c(v)$ of events corresponding to this vertex is included in a configuration, thus it is conflict–free. It can be also easily seen that in all three cases $c(v)$ is downward-closed, i.e., $c(v)$ is a configuration. In the last case, $c(v) = \sigma \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. Each pair of events of $c(v)$ is contained in one of the configurations $\sigma \cup \{e_i, e_j\}, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$, whence $c(v)$ is conflict-free. Pick any $e \in c(v)$. If $e \in \sigma$, then $\downarrow e \subset \sigma$. If $e \in \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, say $e = e_1$, then $\downarrow e \subset \sigma \cup \{e_1\}$. In both cases we conclude that $\downarrow e \subset c(v)$, i.e., $c(v)$ is downward-closed, whence $c(v)$ is a configuration.

Now, we recall how to define the event structure occurring in the second part of the theorem. Suppose that v is an arbitrary but fixed basepoint of a median graph G. For an equivalence class $\Theta_i, i \in I$, we denote by $\{A_i, B_i\}$ the associated convex split, and suppose without loss of generality that $v \in A_i$. Two equivalence classes Θ_i and Θ_j are said to be *crossing* if there exists a 4-cycle C of G with two opposite edges in Θ_i and two other opposite edges in Θ_j (Θ_i and Θ_j are called *non-crossing* otherwise). An equivalence class Θ_i *separates* the basepoint v from the equivalence class Θ_j if Θ_i and Θ_j are non-crossing and all edges of Θ_j belong to B_i. The event structure $\mathcal{E}_v = (E, \leq, \#)$ associated with a pointed median graph G_v is defined in the following way. The set E of events is the set $\{\Theta_i : i \in I\}$ of the equivalence classes of Θ. The causal dependency is defined by setting $\Theta_i \leq \Theta_j$ if and only if $\Theta_i = \Theta_j$ or Θ_i separates v from Θ_j. The conflict relation is defined by setting $\Theta_i \# \Theta_j$ if and only if Θ_i and Θ_j are non-crossing, Θ_i does not separate v from Θ_j and Θ_j does not separates v from Θ_i. Finally, the concurrency relation is defined by setting $\Theta_i \| \Theta_j$ if and only if Θ_i and Θ_j are crossing. Since each parallelism class Θ_i partitions G into two parts A_i and B_i, it easily follows that $\mathcal{E}_v = (E, \leq, \#)$ satisfies the axiom $\Theta_i \# \Theta_j$ and $\Theta_j \leq \Theta_k$ imply $\Theta_i \# \Theta_k$; consequently $\mathcal{E}_v$ is an event structure. To prove that G_v is the Hasse diagram of the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_v)$ of the event structure $\mathcal{E}_v$, consider an isometric embedding of G into a hypercube such that v corresponds to $\varnothing$.



FIGURE 1. The four possible embeddings of the three squares in the 3-cube condition in $\mathcal{D}$.

Then any other vertex u of G is encoded by a finite set U consisting of all Θ_i such that Θ_i separates the vertices v and u. Since $\Theta_i \in U$ and $\Theta_j \leq \Theta_i$ implies that Θ_j also separates v from u, and thus Θ_j belongs to U, we conclude that U is downward-closed. If $\Theta_i \# \Theta_j$ and $\Theta_i \in U$, then necessarily Θ_j and v belong to a common halfspace defined by Θ_i. Therefore Θ_j does not separate u from v. This shows that U is conflict-free, i.e., U is a configuration of $\mathcal{E}_v$. Conversely, any configuration c of $\mathcal{E}_v$ consists of exactly those Θ_i that separate v from the vertex representing c. This concludes the proof of Theorem 7. □

Rephrasing the construction of an event structure from a pointed median graph presented in the proof of Theorem 7, to each CAT(0) cube complex X and each vertex v of X one can associate an event structure $\mathcal{E}_v$ such that the domain of $\mathcal{E}_v$ is the 1-skeleton of X pointed at v. The events of $\mathcal{E}_v$ are the hyperplanes of X. Hyperplanes H and H' define concurrent events if and only if they cross, and $H \leq H'$ if and only if $H = H'$ or H separates H' from v. The events defined by H and H' are in conflict if and only if H and H' do not cross and neither separates the other from v.

3.4. **Related work.** The link between event domains, median graphs, and CAT(0) cube complexes allows to have a more geometric and combinatorial approach to several questions on event structures (and to work only with CAT(0) cube complexes viewed as event domains). For example, this allowed [14] to disprove the so-called *nice labeling conjecture* of Rozoy and Thiagarajan [31] asserting that any event structure of finite degree admit a finite nice labeling. The topological dimension $\dim X$ of a CAT(0) cube complex X corresponds to the maximum number of pairwise concurrent events of $\mathcal{E}_v$ and to the clique number of the intersection graph of hyperplanes of X. The degree $\deg(\mathcal{E}_v)$ of the event structure $\mathcal{E}_v$ is equal to the maximum out-degree of a vertex in the canonical order $\leq_v$ of the 1-skeleton of X (and is equal to the clique number of a so-called pointed contact graph of hyperplanes of X [14,16]). In particular, $\dim X \leq \deg(\mathcal{E}_v)$. Notice also that the maximum degree of a vertex of X is upper bounded by $\deg(\mathcal{E}_v) + \dim(X) \leq 2\deg(\mathcal{E}_v)$ and is equal to the clique number of the contact graph of hyperplanes of X (the intersection graph of the carriers of X) [16, 18]. Using this terminology, a nice labeling of the event structure $\mathcal{E}_v$ is equivalent to a

coloring of the pointed contact graph of X. Using this combinatorial reformulation and the example of Burling [11] of families of axis-parallel boxes of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with no three pairwise intersecting boxes and arbitrarily high chromatic number of the intersection graph, in [14] an example of a CAT(0) 4-dimensional cube complex with maximum degree 12 and infinite chromatic number of the pointed contact graph is given, thus providing a counterexample to the nice labeling conjecture. On the other hand, it is shown in [16] that the nice labeling conjecture is true for event structures whose domains are 2-dimensional (i.e., event structures not containing three pairwise concurrent events).

4. Directed NPC complexes

Since we can define event structures from their domains, universal covers of NPC complexes represent a rich source of event structures. To obtain regular event structures, it is natural to consider universal covers of finite NPC complexes. Moreover, since domains of event structures are directed, it is natural to consider universal covers of NPC complexes whose edges are directed. However, the resulting directed universal covers are not in general domains of event structures. In particular, the domains corresponding to pointed median graphs given by Theorem 7 cannot be obtained in this way. In order to overcome this difficulty, we introduce directed median graphs and directed NPC complexes. We show that using these notions, one can naturally define regular event structures starting from finite directed NPC complexes.

4.1. **Directed median graphs.** A *directed median graph* is a pair (G, o), where G is a median graph and o is an orientation of the edges of G in a such a way that opposite edges of squares of G have the same direction. By transitivity of Θ, all edges from the same parallelism class Θ_i of G have the same direction. Since each Θ_i partitions G into two parts, o defines a partial order $\prec_o$ on the vertex-set of G. For a vertex v of G, let $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G) = \{x \in V : v \prec_o x\}$ be the principal filter of v in the partial order $(V(G), \prec_o)$. For any canonical basepoint order $\leq_v$ of G, $(G, \leq_v)$ is a directed median graph. The converse is obviously not true: the 4-regular tree F_4 directed so that each vertex has two incoming and two outgoing arcs is a directed median graph which is not induced by a basepoint order.

Lemma 2. *For any vertex v of a directed median graph (G, o), the following holds:*

(i) $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ induces a convex subgraph of G;
(ii) the restriction of the partial order $\prec_o$ on $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ coincides with the restriction of the canonical basepoint order $\leq_v$ on $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$;
(iii) $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ together with $\prec_o$ is the domain of an event structure;
(iv) for any vertex $u \in \mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$, the principal filter $\mathcal{F}_o(u, G)$ is included in $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ and $\mathcal{F}_o(u, G)$ coincides with the principal filter of u with respect to the canonical basepoint order $\leq_v$ on $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$.

Proof. To (i): For each parallelism class Θ_i, let A_i, B_i be the complementary halfspaces defined by Θ_i and suppose without loss of generality that all edges of Θ_i are directed from A_i to B_i. Let B be the intersection of all halfspaces of the form B_i containing the vertex v. We assert that $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ coincides with B. $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ consists of all vertices u of G such that there is a path from v to u in the Hasse diagram of $\prec_o$. Since each Θ_i is a cutset of G and all edges of Θ_i are directed from A_i to B_i, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_o(v, G) \subseteq B$. Conversely, let $u \in B$ and pick any shortest path $P(v, u) = (v_0 = v, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k = u)$ in G between v and u. We claim that *all edges of $P(v, u)$ are directed from v to u*, yielding $u \in \mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$. Pick any edge $v_j v_{j+1}$ of $P(v, u)$; suppose that $v_j v_{j+1}$ belongs to the parallelism class Θ_i. By convexity of A_i and B_i, necessarily Θ_i separates the vertices v and u. Since $v, u \in B$, this implies that $v \in A_i$ and $u \in B_i$, i.e., the edge $v_j v_{j+1}$ is directed from v_j to v_{j+1}.

To (ii): First suppose that $u', u \in \mathcal{F}_o(v, G)$ and $u' \leq_v u$. This implies that $u' \in I(v, u)$. Let $P(v, u) = (v_0 = v, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k = u)$ be a shortest path of G between v and u passing via u'.

By what we have shown in (i), in the Hasse diagram of $\prec_o$ all the edges of $P(v,u)$ are directed from v to u. This implies that all the edges of the subpath of $P(v,u)$ comprised between u' and u are directed from u' to u, yielding $u' \prec_o u$. To prove the converse assertion, suppose by way of contradiction that $\mathcal{F}_o(v,G)$ contains two vertices u', u such that $u' \prec_o u$ however $u' \leq_v u$ is not true, i.e., $u' \notin I(v,u)$. Among all vertices u for which this holds, suppose that u is chosen so that to minimize the length of a shortest directed path from u' to u. Let w be a neighbor of u on a shortest path from u' to u. Since $u' \preceq_o w$, $w \in \mathcal{F}_o(v,G)$ and from the choice of u it follows that $u' \leq_v w$, i.e., $u' \in I(v,w)$. Since G is bipartite, either $w \in I(v,u)$ or $u \in I(v,w)$ holds. If $w \in I(v,u)$, since $u' \in I(v,w)$, we conclude that $u' \in I(v,u)$, a contradiction. Therefore $u \in I(v,w)$. Since the edge wu is oriented from w to u and u lies on a shortest path from v to w, we obtain a contradiction with the fact that all the edges of such a shortest path must be directed from v to w. This contradiction establishes that the partial orders $\prec_o$ and $\leq_v$ coincide on $\mathcal{F}_o(v,G)$.

To $(iii)\&(iv)$: By (i), the subgraph G' of G induced by $\mathcal{F}_o(v,G)$ is a median graph. By (ii), the partial order $\prec_o$ coincides on G' with the canonical basepoint order $\leq_v$. By Theorem 7, $(V(G'), \prec_o)$ is the domain of an event structure, establishing (iii). Finally, (iv) is an immediate consequence of (ii). □

4.2. **Directed NPC complexes.** A *directed NPC complex* is a pair (Y,o), where Y is a NPC complex and o is an orientation of the edges of Y in a such a way that the opposite edges of the same square of Y have the same direction. The orientation o of the edges of Y induces in a natural way an orientation $\widetilde{o}$ of the edges of its universal cover $\widetilde{Y}$, so that $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{o})$ is a directed NPC complex and $(\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}, \tilde{o})$ is a directed median graph. We now formulate the crucial regularity property of the universal cover $(\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}, \tilde{o})$ when (Y,o) is a finite.

Lemma 3. *If (Y,o) is a finite directed NPC complex, then $(\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}, \widetilde{o})$ is a directed median graph that has a finite number of isomorphism types of principal filters.*

Proof. Consider a covering map $\varphi : (\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{o}) \to (Y,o)$. We first show that $(\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}, \widetilde{o})$ is a directed median graph. By Theorem 7, $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is a median graph. Since the image of a square in $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is a square in Y, since φ preserves the direction of the edges, and since two opposite edges of a square of Y have the same direction, any two opposite edges of a square of $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ have the same direction. Consequently, $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is a directed median graph.

Consider now two vertices $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}' \in V(\widetilde{Y})$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{u}) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}')$. In the following, we show that $\mathcal{F}_o(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{Y}^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{F}_o(\widetilde{u}', \widetilde{Y}^{(1)})$ are isomorphic, which implies that there are at most $|V(Y)|$ different isomorphism types of principal filters by Lemma 2. The proof is based on the two following claims. The first claim can be easily proved by induction on the length of P.

Claim 1. *For any path $P = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k)$ in $\widetilde{Y}$, there exists a unique path $P' = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_k)$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{u}'_i) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$.*

Claim 2. *For any four paths $P_1 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k)$, $P'_1 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_k)$, $P_2 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{v}_0, \widetilde{v}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{v}_\ell)$, and $P'_2 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{v}'_0, \widetilde{v}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{v}'_\ell)$ in $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{u}'_i) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$ and $\varphi(\widetilde{v}'_j) = \varphi(\widetilde{v}_j)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \ell$, we have $\widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{v}_\ell$ if and only if $\widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}'_\ell$.*

Proof. For each $0 \leq i \leq k$, let $u_i = \varphi(\widetilde{u}_i) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}'_i)$ and for each $0 \leq j \leq \ell$, let $v_j = \varphi(\widetilde{v}_j) = \varphi(\widetilde{v}'_j)$. Suppose that $\widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{v}_\ell$ and consider the cycle $C = P_1 \cdot \overline{P_2} = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{v}_\ell, \widetilde{v}_{\ell-1}, \ldots, \widetilde{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_0 = \widetilde{u})$. Let $n_1(C) = \max\{d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{w}) : \widetilde{w} \in C\}$ and $n_2(C) = |\{\widetilde{w} : d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{w}) = n_1(C)\}|$. We prove the claim by lexicographic induction on $(n_1(C), n_2(C))$. If $n_1(C) = 0$, then $k = \ell = 0$ and we are done. Suppose now that $n_1(C) \geq 1$.

Suppose that there exists $0 < i < k$ such that $d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}_i) = n_1(C)$. Suppose first that $\widetilde{u}_{i-1} = \widetilde{u}_{i+1}$. Then, since φ is an isomorphism between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}_i, \widetilde{Y})$ and $\mathrm{St}(u_i, Y)$ and between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}'_i, \widetilde{Y})$

and $\mathrm{St}(u_i, Y)$, necessarily $\widetilde{u}'_{i-1} = \widetilde{u}'_{i+1}$. By induction hypothesis applied to the paths $P_3 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_{i-1} = \widetilde{u}_{i+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k)$, $P'_3 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_{i-1} = \widetilde{u}'_{i+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_k)$, P_2, and P'_2, we have $\widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}'_\ell$ and we are done. Assume now that $\widetilde{u}_{i-1} \neq \widetilde{u}_{i+1}$. Since the graph $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is bipartite, we have $d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}_{i-1}) = d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}_{i+1}) = n_1(C) - 1$ and $d(\widetilde{u}_{i-1}, \widetilde{u}_{i+1}) = 2$. Since $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is median, there exists $\widetilde{w}_i$ such that $d(\widetilde{w}_i, \widetilde{u}_{i-1}) = d(\widetilde{w}_i, \widetilde{u}_{i+1}) = 1$ and $d(\widetilde{w}_i, \widetilde{u}) = n_1(C) - 2$. Note that $\widetilde{u}_i\widetilde{u}_{i-1}\widetilde{w}_i\widetilde{u}_{i+1}$ is a square in $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}_i, \widetilde{Y})$. Consequently, since φ is an isomorphism between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}_i, \widetilde{Y})$ and $\mathrm{St}(u_i, Y)$ and between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}'_i, \widetilde{Y})$ and $\mathrm{St}(u_i, Y)$, there exists $\widetilde{w}'_i$ such that $\widetilde{u}'_i\widetilde{u}'_{i-1}\widetilde{w}'_i\widetilde{u}'_{i+1}$ is a square in $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{u}'_i, \widetilde{Y})$ and $\varphi(\widetilde{w}'_i) = \varphi(\widetilde{w}_i)$. By induction hypothesis applied to the paths $P_4 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_{i-1}, \widetilde{w}_i, \widetilde{u}_{i+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k)$, $P'_4 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_{i-1}, \widetilde{w}'_i, \widetilde{u}'_{i+1}, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_k)$, P_2, and P'_2, we have $\widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}'_\ell$ and we are done. Analogously, if there exists $0 < j < \ell$ such that $d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}_j) = n_1(C)$, we can show that $\widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}'_\ell$.

Suppose now that $n_1(C) = d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{v}_\ell)$ and $n_2(C) = 1$. Since $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is bipartite, $d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}_{k-1}) = d(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}_{\ell-1}) = n_1(C) - 1$ and $d(\widetilde{u}_{k-1}, \widetilde{v}_{\ell-1}) = 2$. Since $\widetilde{Y}^{(1)}$ is median, there exists $\widetilde{w}$ such that $d(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{u}_{k-1}) = d(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{v}_{\ell-1}) = 1$ and $d(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{u}) = n_1(C) - 2$. Since $\varphi(\widetilde{u}_{k-1}) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}'_{k-1})$, there exists a unique neighbor $\widetilde{w}'$ of $\widetilde{u}'_{k-1}$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{w}') = \varphi(\widetilde{w})$. Similarly, there exists a unique neighbor $\widetilde{w}''$ of $\widetilde{v}'_{\ell-1}$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{w}'') = \varphi(\widetilde{w})$. By induction hypothesis applied to the paths $P_5 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_{k-1}, \widetilde{w})$, $P'_5 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_{k-1}, \widetilde{w}')$, $P_6 = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{v}_0, \widetilde{v}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{v}_{\ell-1}, \widetilde{w})$, and $P'_6 = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{v}'_0, \widetilde{v}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{v}'_{\ell-1}, \widetilde{w}'')$, we have that $\widetilde{w}' = \widetilde{w}''$. Consequently, since φ induces a bijection between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{w}, \widetilde{Y})$ and $\mathrm{St}(\varphi(\widetilde{w}), Y)$ and between $\mathrm{St}(\widetilde{w}', \widetilde{Y})$ and $\mathrm{St}(\varphi(\widetilde{w}'), Y) = \mathrm{St}(\varphi(\widetilde{w}), Y)$, necessarily $\widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}'_\ell$ and we are done. □

Define now a map $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}$ from $V(\widetilde{Y})$ to $V(\widetilde{Y})$ such that $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{u}) = \widetilde{u}'$. For any vertex $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{Y}$, consider a path $P = (\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}_0, \widetilde{u}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{v})$ from $\widetilde{u}$ to $\widetilde{v}$ in $\widetilde{Y}$. By Claim 1, there exists a unique path $P' = (\widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{u}'_0, \widetilde{u}'_1, \ldots, \widetilde{u}'_k = \widetilde{v}')$ such that $\varphi(\widetilde{u}'_i) = \varphi(\widetilde{u}_i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$ and we let $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{v}) = \widetilde{v}'$. Note that $\varphi(f(\widetilde{v})) = \varphi(\widetilde{v})$ and by Claim 2, $f(\widetilde{v})$ is independent of the choice of the path P. Similarly, we can define a map $f_{\widetilde{u}',\widetilde{u}}$ from $\widetilde{Y}$ to $\widetilde{Y}$ such that $f_{\widetilde{u}',\widetilde{u}}(\widetilde{u}') = \widetilde{u}$ and one can easily see that $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'} \circ f_{\widetilde{u}',\widetilde{u}} = f_{\widetilde{u}',\widetilde{u}} \circ f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'} = \mathrm{id}$. Consequently, $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}$ is a bijection from $V(\widetilde{Y})$ to $V(\widetilde{Y})$. Moreover, from the definition of $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}$ and from Claim 1, if $\widetilde{v}_1\widetilde{v}_2$ is an edge of $\widetilde{Y}$, then $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{v}_1)f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{v}_2)$ is also an edge of $\widetilde{Y}$. Since the orientation of $\widetilde{v}_1\widetilde{v}_2$ in $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{o})$ is the same as the orientation of the edge $\varphi(\widetilde{v}_1)\varphi(\widetilde{v}_2)$ in (Y, o), it is also the same as the orientation of $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{v}_1)f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{v}_2)$ in $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{o})$. Consequently, $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}$ is an automorphism of $(\widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{o})$ such that $f_{\widetilde{u},\widetilde{u}'}(\widetilde{u}) = \widetilde{u}'$. By Lemma 2, $\mathcal{F}_o(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{Y}^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{F}_o(\widetilde{u}', \widetilde{Y}^{(1)})$ are isomorphic. □

Proposition 1. *Let (Y, o) be a finite directed NPC complex. Then for any vertex $\widetilde{v}$ of the universal cover $\widetilde{Y}$ of Y, the principal filter $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{Y}^{(1)})$ together with the partial order $\prec_{\widetilde{o}}$ is the domain of a regular event structure.*

Proof. By Theorem 3, $\widetilde{Y}$ is a CAT(0) cube complex. Combining Lemma 2 (*iii*)-(*iv*) and Lemma 3, we deduce that $(\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{Y}^{(1)}), \prec_{\widetilde{o}})$ is the domain of a regular event structure. □

4.3. **Complete square complexes.** In this subsection, we closely follow [44]. A *square complex* X is a combinatorial 2-complex whose 2-cells are attached by closed combinatorial paths of length 4. Thus, one can consider each 2-cell as a square attached to the 1-skeleton $X^{(1)}$ of X. A square complex X is a *VH-complex* (*vertical-horizontal complex*) if the 1-cells of X are partitioned into two sets V and H called *vertical* and *horizontal* edges respectively, and the edges in each square alternate between edges in V and H. If v is a vertex of X, then the partition of the 1-cells of X into two classes V and H induces a partition of the vertices of $\mathrm{Link}(v)$, thus $\mathrm{Link}(v)$ is a bipartite graph. Notice that if X is a VH-complex, then X satisfies the Gromov's nonpositive curvature condition for square complexes because for each vertex v of X, each cycle in $\mathrm{Link}(v)$ has even length and there are no length 2 cycles.



FIGURE 2. The 6 squares defining the complex $\mathbf{X}$

A VH-complex X is a *complete square complex* (CSC) if $\mathrm{Link}(v)$ is a complete bipartite graph for each vertex $v \in X^{(0)}$. By [44, Theorem 3.8], if X is a complete square complex, then the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of two trees. By a *plane* Π in $\widetilde{X}$ we will mean a convex subcomplex of $\widetilde{X}$ isometric to $\mathbb{R}^2$ tiled by the grid $\mathbb{Z}^2$ into unit squares.

5. Wise's event domain $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$

In this section, we construct a regular event structure $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ that does not admit a regular nice labelling. To do so, we start with a directed colored CSC $\mathbf{X}$ introduced by Wise [44]. In the following, we consider directed colored VH-complexes, in which each edge has an orientation and a color. Such complexes will be denoted by bold letters, like $\mathbf{X}$. Sometimes, we need to forget the colors and the orientations of the edges of these complexes. For a complex $\mathbf{X}$, we denote by X the complex obtained by forgetting the colors and the orientations of the edges of $\mathbf{X}$ (X is called the *support* of $\mathbf{X}$), and we denote by (X, o) the directed complex obtained by forgetting the colors of $\mathbf{X}$.

5.1. **Wise's square complex X and its universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$.** The complex $\mathbf{X}$ consists of six squares as indicated in Figure 2 (they correspond to the squares described in Figure 3 of [44]). Each square has two vertical and two horizontal edges. The horizontal edges are oriented from left to right and vertical edges from bottom to top. Denote this orientation of edges by o. The vertical edges of squares are colored white, grey, and black and denoted a, b, and c, respectively. The horizontal edges of squares are colored by single or double arrow, and denoted x and y, respectively. The six squares are glued together by identifying edges of the same color and respecting the directions to obtain the square complex $\mathbf{X}$. Note that $\mathbf{X}$ has a unique vertex (0-cell), five edges (1-cells), and six squares (2-cells). It can be directly checked that the link of the unique vertex of $\mathbf{X}$ is the complete bipartite graph $K_{4,6}$. Consequently, (X, o) is a directed nonpositively curved VH-square complex. Let H_X denote the subcomplex of X consisting of the 2 horizontal edges and let V_X denote the subcomplex of X consisting of the 3 vertical edges ((H_X, o) and (V_X, o) are directed 1-dimensional complexes).

The universal cover $\widetilde{H_X}$ of H_X is the 4-regular infinite tree F_4. Its edges inherit the orientations from the edges of H_X to which they are mapped by the covering map: each vertex of $\widetilde{H_X}$ has two incoming and two outgoing arcs. Analogously, the universal cover $\widetilde{H_V}$ of H_V is the 6-regular infinite tree F_6 whose edges are oriented in a such a way that each vertex of $\widetilde{H_V}$ has three incoming and three outgoing arcs. Let $\widetilde{v}_1$ be any vertex of F_4. Then the principal filter of $\widetilde{v}_1$ in F_4 is the infinite binary tree T_2 rooted at $\widetilde{v}_1$: all its vertices except $\widetilde{v}_1$ have one incoming and two outgoing arcs, while $\widetilde{v}_1$ has two outgoing arcs and no incoming arc. Analogously, the principal filter of any vertex $\widetilde{v}_2$ in the ordered set F_6 is the infinite ternary tree T_3 rooted at $\widetilde{v}_2$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ be the universal cover of $\mathbf{X}$ and let $p : \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \to \mathbf{X}$ be a covering map. Let $\widetilde{X}$ denote the support of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$. Since the link of the unique vertex of $\mathbf{X}$ is the complete bipartite graph $K_{4,6}$, by [44, Theorem 3.8], $\widetilde{X}$ is the Cartesian product $F_4 \times F_6$ of the trees F_4 and F_6. The edges of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ are colored and oriented as their images in $\mathbf{X}$ (and oriented as in the respective factors F_4 or F_6),

and are also classified as horizontal or vertical edges. The squares of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ are oriented as their images in $\mathbf{X}$, thus two opposite edges of the same square of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ have the same direction. This implies that all classes of parallel edges of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ are oriented in the same direction. Denote this orientation of the edges of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ (inherited from o) by $\widetilde{o}$. The 1-skeleton $\widetilde{X}^{(1)}$ of $\widetilde{X}$ together with $\widetilde{o}$ is a directed median graph. Let $\widetilde{v} = (\widetilde{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_2)$ be any vertex of $\widetilde{X}$, where $\widetilde{v}_1$ and $\widetilde{v}_2$ are the coordinates of $\widetilde{v}$ in the trees F_4 and F_6. Then the principal filter $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{X}^{(1)})$ of $\widetilde{v}$ is the Cartesian product of the principal filters of $\widetilde{v}_1$ in F_4 and of $\widetilde{v}_2$ in F_6, i.e., is isomorphic to $T_2 \times T_3$.

By Lemma 2, the orientation of the edges of $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{X}^{(1)})$ corresponds to the canonical basepoint orientation of $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{X}^{(1)})$ with $\widetilde{v}$ as the basepoint. Moreover, by Proposition 1, $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{X}^{(1)})$ is the domain of a regular event structure with one isomorphism type of principal filters. We summarize this in the following result:

Lemma 4. *For any vertex $\widetilde{v}$ of $\widetilde{X}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{X}^{(1)})$ is the domain of a regular event structure with one isomorphism class of futures.*

5.2. **Aperiodicity of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$.** In this subsection we recall the main properties of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ established in [44, Section 5]. Let $\widetilde{v} = (\widetilde{v}_1, \widetilde{v}_2)$ be an arbitrary vertex of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$, where $\widetilde{v}_1$ and $\widetilde{v}_2$ are defined as before. From the definition of the covering map, the loop of $\mathbf{X}$ colored y gives rise to a bi-infinite horizontal path P_y of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(1)}$ passing via $\widetilde{v}$ and whose all edges are colored y and are directed from left to right. Analogously, there exists a bi-infinite vertical path P_c of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(1)}$ passing via $\widetilde{v}$ and whose all edges are colored c and are directed from bottom to top.

Since no square of $\mathbf{X}$ contains two incident edges of the same color, no subpath of length 2 of P_y or of P_c is included in a square. Therefore the paths P_y and P_c are locally-convex, thus by Lemma 1, P_y and P_c are convex paths of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(1)}$. Therefore, the projection of P_y on the horizontal factor F_4 is a bi-infinite path P^h of F_4 passing via $\widetilde{v}_1$. Analogously, the projection of P_c on the vertical factor F_6 is a bi-infinite path P^v of F_6 passing via $\widetilde{v}_2$. Consequently, the convex hull $\mathrm{conv}(P_y \cup P_c)$ of $P_y \cup P_c$ in the graph $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of $P^h \times P^v$ of the paths P^h and P^v. Therefore the subcomplex of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ spanned by $\mathrm{conv}(P_y \cup P_c)$ is the plane Π_{yc} tiled into squares (recall that each square is of one of 6 types and its sides are colored by the letters a, b, c, x, y). Wise showed that the plane Π_{yc} is not tiled periodically by the preimages of the squares of $\mathbf{X}$.

Theorem 8. *[44, Theorem 5.3] The plane Π_{yc} tiled into squares is not doubly periodic.*

In our counterexample we use the following result of [44] from which immediately follows Theorem 8. Denote by P_y^+ the (directed) subpath of P_y having $\widetilde{v}$ as the origin (this is a one-infinite horizontal path). Analogously, let P_c^+ be the (vertical) subpath of P_c having $\widetilde{v}$ as the origin. The convex hull of $P_y^+ \cup P_c^+$ is a quarter of the plane Π_{yc}, which we denote by Π_{yc}^{++}. Any shortest path in $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(1)}$ from $\widetilde{v}$ to a vertex $\widetilde{u} \in \Pi_{yc}^{++}$ can be viewed as a word in the alphabet $A = \{a, b, c, x, y\}$. For an integer $n \geq 0$, denote by y^n the horizontal subpath of P_y^+ beginning at $\widetilde{v}$ and having length n. Analogously, for an integer $m \geq 0$, denote by c^m the vertical subpath of P_c^+ beginning at $\widetilde{v}$ and having length m. Let $M_n(m)$ denote the horizontal path of Π_{yc}^{++} of length n beginning at the endpoint of the vertical path c^m. $M_n(m)$ determines a word which is the label of the side opposite to y^n in the rectangle which is the convex hull of y^n and c^m. Let $M_n(m)$ also denotes this corresponding word.

Proposition 2. *[44, Proposition 5.9] For each n, the words $\{M_n(m) : 0 \leq m \leq 2^n - 1\}$ are all distinct, and thus, every positive word in x and y of length n is $M_n(m)$ for some m.*

This proposition is called in [44] "period doubling". It immediately establishes Theorem 8 because it shows that the period of the infinite vertical strip of Π_{yc}^{++} of width n and bounded on the left by the path P_c^+ has period 2^n. Alternatively, every positive word in x and y appears in Π_{yc}^{++}, and thus Π_{yc} cannot be periodic.



FIGURE 3. Part of the plane Π^{++}_{yc} appearing in $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$



FIGURE 4. A square of $\mathbf{X}$ and the corresponding subcomplexes in $(\beta\mathbf{X}, o')$ and (W, o^*)

5.3. **The square complex W and its universal cover $\widetilde{W}$.** Let $\beta\mathbf{X}$ denote the first barycentric subdivision of the complex $\mathbf{X}$: each square C of $\mathbf{X}$ is subdivided into four squares C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 by adding a middle vertex to each edge of C and connecting it to the center of C by an edge. This way each edge e of C is subdivided into two edges e_1, e_2, which inherit the orientation and the color of e. The four edges connecting the middle vertices of the edges of C to the center of C are oriented from left to right and from bottom to top (see the middle figure of Figure 4). Denote the resulting orientation by o'. This way, $(\beta\mathbf{X}, o')$ is a directed and colored square complex. Again, denote by βX the support of $\beta\mathbf{X}$. The universal cover $\widetilde{\beta X}$ of βX is the Cartesian product $\beta F_4 \times \beta F_6$ of the trees βF_4 and βF_6, where βF_4 is the first barycentric subdivision of F_4 and βF_6 is the first barycentric subdivision of F_6 (they are obtained by subdividing the edges of F_4 and F_6 by their middles). Additionally, $(\widetilde{\beta X}, \widetilde{o}')$ is a directed CAT(0) square complex. We assign a *type* to each vertex of $\widetilde{\beta\mathbf{X}}$: the preimage of the unique vertex of $\mathbf{X}$ is of type 0 and is called a 0-*vertex*, the preimages of the middles of edges of $\mathbf{X}$ are of type 1 and are called 1-*vertices*, and the preimages of centers of squares of $\mathbf{X}$ are of type 2 and are called 2-*vertices*.

To encode the colors of the edges of $\mathbf{X}$, we introduce our central object, the square complex W (whose edges are no longer colored). Let $A = \{a, b, c, x, y\}$ and let $r : A \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a bijective map. The square complex W is obtained from βX by adding to each 1-vertex z of βX a path R_z of length $r(\alpha)$ when z is the middle of an edge of $\mathbf{X}$ colored $\alpha \in A$. The path R_z has one end at the vertex z (called the *root* of R_z), moreover z is the unique common vertex of R_z and βX (we call such added paths R_z *tips*). The square complex W has 27 vertices: the unique vertex of $\mathbf{X}$, the 6 vertices which are the barycenters of the original squares, 5 vertices which are the barycenters of the original edges of $\mathbf{X}$, and 15 vertices which are new vertices lying on tips. The complex W has 49 edges: 10 corresponding to the 5 original edges that have been subdivided, 24 connecting the barycenters of the original squares to the barycenters of the original edges and 15 forming the tips. The complex W has 24 squares: 4 for each original square. Denote by o^* the orientation of the edges of W defined as follows: the edges of βX are oriented as in $(\beta X, o)$ and the edges of tips are oriented away from their roots (see the rightmost figure of Figure 4 for the encoding of the last square of Figure 2). Hence (W, o^*) is a finite directed nonpositively curved square complex.

Consider the universal cover $\widetilde{W}$ of W. It can be viewed as the complex $\widetilde{\beta X}$ with a path of length $r(\alpha)$ added to each 1-vertex which encodes an edge of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ of color $\alpha \in A$. We say that the vertices of $\widetilde{W}$ lying only on tips are of type 3 and they are called 3-*vertices*. Let $\widetilde{o}^*$ denote the orientation of the edges of $\widetilde{W}$ induced by the orientation o^* of W. Then $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ is a directed CAT(0) square complex. By Lemma 3, the directed median graph $(\widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ has a finite number of isomorphisms types of principal filters $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$.

Let $\widetilde{v}$ be any 0-vertex of $\widetilde{W}$. Denote by $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ the principal filter $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$ of vertex $\widetilde{v}$ in $(\widetilde{W}^{(1)}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$. By Proposition 1, $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ together with the partial order $\prec_{\widetilde{o}^*}$ is the domain of a regular event structure, which we call *Wise's event structure*. Since vertices of different types of $\widetilde{W}$ have non isomorphic links, any isomorphism between two filters of $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ preserves the types of vertices. We summarize all this in the following proposition:

Proposition 3. *$(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ is the domain of a regular event structure. Any isomorphism between any two filters of $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ preserves the types of vertices.*

5.4. **$(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ does not have a regular nice labeling.** In this subsection we prove that the event structure associated with Wise's regular event domain is a counterexample to Thiagarajan's conjecture.

Theorem 9. *$(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ does not admit a regular nice labeling. Consequently, Conjectures 1 and 1′ are false.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ is the principal filter of a 0-vertex $\widetilde{v}$, $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ contains all vertices of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ located in the quarter of plane Π^{++}_{yc} of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$, in particular it contains the vertices of the paths P_c^+ and P_y^+. Notice also that $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ contains the barycenters and the tips corresponding to the edges of Π^{++}_{yc}.

Suppose by way of contradiction that $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ has a regular nice labeling λ. Since $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$ has only a finite number of isomorphism types of labeled filters, the vertical path P_c^+ contains two 0-vertices $\widetilde{z}'$ and $\widetilde{z}''$ which have isomorphic labeled principal filters. Let $\widetilde{z}'$ be the end of the vertical subpath c^k of P_c^+ and $\widetilde{z}''$ be the end of the vertical subpath c^m of P_c^+, and suppose without loss of generality that $k < m$. Let $n > 0$ be a positive integer such that $m \leq 2^n - 1$. Consider the horizontal convex paths $M_n(k)$ and $M_n(m)$ of Π^{++}_{yc} of length n beginning at the vertices $\widetilde{z}'$ and $\widetilde{z}''$, respectively. For any $0 \leq i \leq n$, denote by $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}$ the ith vertex of $M_n(k)$ (in particular, $\widetilde{z}_{k,0} = \widetilde{z}'$). Analogously, denote by $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}$ the ith vertex of $M_n(m)$ (in particular, $\widetilde{z}_{m,0} = \widetilde{z}''$). In $\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}$, the paths $M_n(k)$ and $M_n(m)$ give rise to two convex horizontal paths $M_n^*(k)$ and $M_n^*(m)$ obtained from $M_n(k)$ and $M_n(m)$ by subdividing their edges. Denote by $\widetilde{u}_{k,i}$ the unique common neighbor of $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}$ and $\widetilde{z}_{k,i+1}$, $0 \leq i < n$, in $M_n^*(k)$ (and in $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$). Analogously, denote by $\widetilde{u}_{m,i}$ the unique common neighbor of $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}$ and



FIGURE 5. To the proof of Theorem 9

$\widetilde{z}_{m,i+1}$, $0 \leq i < n$ (see Figure 5). The paths $M_n^*(k)$ and $M_n^*(m)$ belong to the principal filters $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{z}', \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{z}'', \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$, respectively.

By Proposition 2, the words $M_n(k)$ and $M_n(m)$ are different. Let f be an isomorphism between the filters $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{z}_{k,0}, \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{o}^*}(\widetilde{z}_{m,0}, \widetilde{W}^{(1)})$. Since the words $M_n(k)$ and $M_n(m)$ are different, from the choice of the lengths of tips in the complexes W and $\widetilde{W}$ it follows that f cannot map the path $M_n^*(k)$ to the path $M_n^*(m)$ by a vertical translation, i.e., there exists an index $0 \leq j < n$ such that $f(\widetilde{z}_{k,j+1}) \neq \widetilde{z}_{m,j+1}$; let i be the smallest such index. Set $\widetilde{z} := f(\widetilde{z}_{k,i+1})$ and $\widetilde{u} := f(\widetilde{u}_{k,i})$. Since f preserves the types of vertices, $\widetilde{z}$ is a 0-vertex and $\widetilde{u}$ is a 1-vertex. Since f maps a convex path $M_n^*(k)$ to a convex path, $\widetilde{u}$ is the unique common neighbor of $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}$ and $\widetilde{z}$. Since each 1-vertex is the barycenter of a unique edge of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\widetilde{z} \neq \widetilde{z}_{m,i+1}$, we deduce that $\widetilde{u} \neq \widetilde{u}_{m,i}$. The edge $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}\widetilde{u}_{k,i}$ is directed from $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}$ to $\widetilde{u}_{k,i}$. Analogously the edges $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}_{m,i}$ and $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}$ are directed from $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}$ to $\widetilde{u}_{m,i}$ and $\widetilde{u}$, respectively. Since $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}\widetilde{u}_{k,i}$ and $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}_{m,i}$ are parallel edges, they define the same event and therefore $\lambda(\widetilde{z}_{k,i}\widetilde{u}_{k,i}) = \lambda(\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}_{m,i})$. On the other hand, since f maps the edge $\widetilde{z}_{k,i}\widetilde{u}_{k,i}$ to the edge $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}$ and the map f preserves the labels, we have $\lambda(\widetilde{z}_{k,i}\widetilde{u}_{k,i}) = \lambda(\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u})$. As a result, $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}$ has two outgoing edges, $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}_{m,i}$ and $\widetilde{z}_{m,i}\widetilde{u}$, having the same label, contrary to the assumption that λ is a nice labeling. This contradiction shows that $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ does not admit a regular nice labeling. By Proposition 1, $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ is the domain of a regular event structure. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

6. Recognizable conflict event domains

In this section, we consider general event structures and their domains, called *conflict event domains*. The domains of prime event structures (i.e., pointed median graphs or CAT(0) cube complexes), which we called until now "event domains", are particular conflict event domains, therefore all subsequent concepts and results also apply to them. In the following presentation, we closely follow [4].

A (general) *event structure* is a triple $\mathcal{E} = (E, \#_\mu, \vdash)$, where

- E is a set of *events*,
- $\#_\mu \subseteq E \times E$ is a binary, irreflexive, symmetric relation, called the *conflict* relation,
- Con is the family of finite and conflict-free subsets of E; then $\vdash$ is a subset of $\mathrm{Con} \times E$, called the *enabling* relation, such that

$$(X \vdash e \text{ and } X \subset Y \in \mathrm{Con}) \Rightarrow Y \vdash e.$$

A *configuration* is a subset $X \subset E$ which is (1) *conflict-free*, i.e., $e \#_\mu e'$ implies that $e \notin X$ or $e' \notin X$, and (2) *secured*, i.e., for any $e \in X$ there exist $e_0, \ldots, e_n \in X$ such that $e_n = e$ and $\{e_0, \ldots, e_{i-1}\} \vdash e_i$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ consists of all finite configurations ordered by inclusion. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is called a *conflict event domain*. Winskel [40] characterized Hasse diagrams of partial orders which can be realized as conflict event domains (for a precise formulation, see for example Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 of [4]). Two events a, b are *independent* (or *concurrent*), notation $a \| b$, if there exist x, y, and z in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $y = x \cup \{a\}, z = x \cup \{b\}$ and $y \uparrow z$ (i.e., y and z have an upper bound).

One can observe that prime event structures are a particular case of general event structures and thus the domains of prime event structures are particular conflict event domains. However, note that this inclusion is strict. In particular, the Hasse diagram of a conflict event domain is not necessarily a median graph (i.e., Theorem 7 does not hold for conflict event domains). For example, consider the general event structure $\mathcal{E} = (E, \#_\mu, \vdash)$ where $E = \{a, b, c\}$, $\#_\mu$ is empty (there is no conflict), and $\vdash$ is defined as follows: $\emptyset \vdash a$, $\emptyset \vdash b$, and for any non-empty set X, $X \vdash a$, $X \vdash b$, and $X \vdash c$. In this case, any subset of E except $\{c\}$ is a configuration, and thus $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ does not satisfy the 3-cube condition.

We continue with the definition of trace automata. An *automaton* $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, q_0, T)$ consists of a countable alphabet Σ, a set Q of states with initial state $q_0 \in Q$, and a transition relation $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$. A *trace automaton* $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, I, Q, q_0, T)$ is an automaton such that (Σ, I) is a trace alphabet such that the following conditions on transitions $p \xrightarrow{a} q \in T$ are satisfied:

Determinism: $p \xrightarrow{a} q$ and $p \xrightarrow{a} r$ imply $q = r$;
Commutativity: $(a, b) \in I$, $q \xrightarrow{a} r$, and $q \xrightarrow{b} s$ imply that there exists some state p such that $r \xrightarrow{b} p$ and $s \xrightarrow{a} p$.

The *language* $L(\mathcal{A})$ of an automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of words $\{m \in \Sigma^* : q_0 \xrightarrow{m}\}$ labeling its paths from the initial state. The *trace language* of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = L(\mathcal{A})/\sim_I$ where $\sim_I$ is the equivalence relation defined from the independence relation I. Let $\leq$ be the prefix order on words, and $\precsim = (\leq \cup \sim_I)^*$ be the prefix preorder modulo independence. The set of *finite configurations* of a trace automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, and the *domain of configurations* $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the ordered set $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}), \precsim)$.

The explicit connection between conflict event domains and trace automata is given by the following theorem of Stark:

Theorem 10. *[35] The domains of configurations of trace automata are exactly the conflict event domains.*



FIGURE 6. Two examples where $e_1 \natural_{(3)} e_2$: $e_1 \| e_3$ and $e_2 \#_\mu e_3$

The domains of prime event structures (i.e., the domains defined by pointed median graphs) were characterized by Bednarczyk [9] as domains of configurations of the *asynchronous transition systems*, i.e., trace automata satisfying the following additional axiom:

Forward stability: $q \xrightarrow{a} r$, $r \xrightarrow{b} s$, and $(a, b) \in I$ implies that there exists some state p such that $q \xrightarrow{b} p$ and $p \xrightarrow{a} s$.

A conflict even domain is *recognizable* if it is isomorphic to the domain of configurations of some *finite* trace automaton. Badouel et al. [4] formulated two conjectures about recognizable conflict even domains and prime event domains, which we consider very close to Conjecture 1.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a conflict event domain. Let $\natural$ be the least irreflexive and symmetric relation on the set of events E such that $e_1 \natural e_2$ if (1) $e_1 \| e_2$, or (2) $e_1 \#_\mu e_2$, or (3) there exists an event e_3 that is co-initial with e_1 and e_2 at two different configurations such that $e_1 \| e_3$ and $e_2 \#_\mu e_3$ (see Figure 6 for examples). (If $e_1 \natural e_2$ and this comes from condition (3), then we write $e_1 \natural_{(3)} e_2$.) A $\natural$-*clique* is any complete subgraph of the graph whose vertices are the events and whose edges are the pairs of events $e_1 e_2$ such that $e_1 \natural e_2$.

Conjecture 2′ [4]. *A conflict event domain is recognizable if and only if it has a finite number of non-isomorphic residuals (i.e., the associated event structure is regular) and has bounded $\natural$-cliques.*

For the special case of prime event domains (coherent dI-domains), Badouel et al. [4] formulate a seemingly stronger conjecture:

Conjecture 2″ [4]. *A prime event domain is recognizable if and only if (i) it has a finite number of non-isomorphic residuals (i.e., the associated event structure is regular) and (ii) has bounded degree.*

In [4] the condition (ii) is formulated differently ("(ii) its transitive reduction is finitely branching"), but as the authors of [4] mention, under condition (i), both formulations of condition (ii) are equivalent. Notice also that the event domains arising in the formulation of Conjecture 2″ are exactly those which arise in the formulations of Conjectures 1 and 1′. Therefore, Theorem 9 shows that Conjecture 2″ is false. It was outlined by Morin [25, Section 5] that a prime event domain is recognizable if and only if the associated event structure admits a nice regular labeling and if and only if the associated event structure is the unfolding of a finite 1-safe Petri net (conditions (i), (v), and (vi) of [25, p. 696]). In particular, this shows that Conjecture 2″ is equivalent to Conjecture 1.

In the next Proposition, we show that Conjecture 2′ does not hold even for prime event structures.

Proposition 4. *Wise's event domain $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ has bounded $\natural$-cliques. Consequently, $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ is a counterexample to Conjecture 2′, and thus to Conjecture 2.*

Proof. By Proposition 1, $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ is the domain of a regular event structure. Recall that each event corresponds to a hyperplane of the CAT(0) cube complex $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ or, equivalently, to the class of parallel edges of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ crossed by that hyperplane. We refer to events of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ as *vertical, horizontal*, and *tip-events* depending of the type of edges from their parallelism class.

Claim 3. *If $e_1 \natural e_2$ and e_1 and e_2 are either both vertical or both horizontal, then $e_1 \#_\mu e_2$.*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $e_1 \natural_{(3)} e_2$ and both events e_1 and e_2 are vertical. Then there exists an event e_3 such that $e_1 \| e_3$, $e_2 \#_\mu e_3$ and e_3 is co-initial with e_1 and e_2 at two different configurations. Since $e_1 \| e_3$ and e_1 is vertical, the event e_3 cannot be vertical or be a tip-event. Hence e_3 is horizontal. From the definition of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ it follows that the horizontal and vertical edges come from the Cartesian product of two trees. Therefore any pair of horizontal and vertical events defines a square of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$, thus they are concurrent. This contradicts the fact that $e_3 \#_\mu e_2$ and finishes the proof of Claim 3. □

Let Q be a $\natural$-clique of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$. We asserts that the size of Q is at most 11. Suppose by way of contradiction that $|Q| \geq 12$. From the definition of $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \prec_{\widetilde{o}^*})$ it follows that $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ has degree 5: the out-degree of any 0-vertex is 5, the out-degree of any 1-vertex is either 5 or 4, the out-degree of any 2-vertex is 2, and the out-degree of any 3-vertex is either 1 or 0. This implies that the maximum number of events of Q that are pairwise concurrent or in minimal conflict is 5. From the definition of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ it also follows that two tip-events cannot be concurrent or in minimal conflict. Also from condition (3) in the definition of $\natural$ it immediately follows that Q cannot contain two tip-events e_1 and e_2 such that $e_1 \natural_{(3)} e_2$. Indeed, if this happen, then there exists an event e_3 such that $e_1 \| e_3$, thus e_1 and e_3 cannot be tip-events. Consequently, the $\natural$-clique Q contains at most one tip-event. Since $|Q| \geq 12$, Q contains at least 6 vertical or horizontal events, say Q contains a subset Q' of 6 vertical events. Since all events of Q' are vertical, they are not pairwise concurrent. Since Q' is a $\natural$-clique and at most 5 events of Q' can be pairwise in minimal conflict, this implies that Q' must contain two events e_1, e_2 such that $e_1 \natural_{(3)} e_2$. But this is impossible by Claim 3. Therefore $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ is a regular conflict event domain with bounded $\natural$-cliques and bounded degree. Since by Theorem 9 $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ does not admit a regular nice labeling, the event domain $(\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ is not recognizable. This shows that Conjecture 2′ is false. □

7. Conclusions and open questions

In this paper, we presented an example of a regular event domain $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ of bounded degree and bounded $\natural$-clique size, which does not admit a regular nice labeling. Consequently, the domain $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ is not recognizable and the prime event structure whose domain is $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ is not a regular trace event structure. This provides a counterexample to Conjecture 1 of Thiagarajan and Conjecture 2 of Badouel, Darondeau, and Raoult.

The event domain $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ is a 2-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex, i.e., it does not contain three pairwise concurrent events. At first, one can think that after trees, 2-dimensional CAT(0) cube complexes are the next simplest event domains on which Conjectures 1 or 2 must be true. Moreover, it was shown in [16] that any 2-dimensional CAT(0) cube complex of bounded degree admits a finite nice labeling. A finite nice labeling of $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ can be also directly derived from the fact that $\widetilde{W}$ is a product of two trees with attached tips of various lengths at 1-vertices. However, it turned out that finding a *regular* finite nice labeling is extremely difficult already in the case of 2-dimensional event domains. Our example $\widetilde{W_{\widetilde{v}}}$ of a regular 2-dimensional event domain without a regular labeling strongly uses the fact that the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ of Wise's complex $\mathbf{X}$ [44] contains a particular aperiodic tiled plane (that is called *antitorus* by Wise).

7.1. **Tractability of the existence of regular nice labellings.** We think that the relationship between the existence of aperiodic planes and nonexistence of regular labelings is more general. Namely, we think that deciding if a regular 2-dimensional event domain admits a regular nice labeling is undecidable in the following precise way.

Let $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ be a finite set of tiles (called also Wang-tiles), where each $t_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$, is a unit square whose edges are directed and colored. Suppose that each square t_i has two vertical and two horizontal edges and suppose that the horizontal and the vertical edges of all squares are colored differently, i.e., the set of colors can be partitioned into horizontal colors and vertical colors. The horizontal edges are directed from left to right and the vertical edges are directed from bottom to top. Suppose additionally that T does not contain two squares t_i and t_j whose edges have one common horizontal and one common vertical colors (such sets of tiles are called *deterministic* or *4-way deterministic* [23]). Let $\mathbf{X}(T)$ be the finite VH-square complex obtained by gluing together the squares of T along the sides which have the same color respecting their orientation. Then one can easily check that $\mathbf{X}(T)$ is a nonpositively curved VH-square complex. Consequently, the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T)}$ of $\mathbf{X}(T)$ is a CAT(0) VH-square complex. Let $(\widetilde{W(T)}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ denote the 2-dimensional event domain derived from $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T)}$ in the same way as $(\widetilde{W}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*))$ was derived from $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$. Since $\widetilde{W(T)}_{\widetilde{v}}$ comes from the universal cover of a finite NPC complex, by Proposition 3 $(\widetilde{W(T)}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ is a regular event structure (additionally, it has finite degree).

Conjecture 3. Given a 4-way deterministic set of tiles T, *deciding if* $(\widetilde{W(T)}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ *admits a regular nice labeling is undecidable.*

Our reasons to believe that Conjecture 3 is true are based on the following considerations. Kari and Papasoglu [23] presented a 4-way deterministic aperiodic tile-set T_{KP}, i.e., all tilings of $\mathbb{R}^2$ using tiles from T_{KP} are aperiodic. This means that the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_{KP})}$ (which is a CAT(0) square complex) of the square complex $\mathbf{X}(T_{KP})$ contains only aperiodic planes (in the case of the Wise complex $\mathbf{X}$, the CAT(0) square complex $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$ contains aperiodic planes but it also contains some periodic planes). Using the tile-set $\mathbf{X}(T_{KP})$ of [23], Lukkarila [24] proved that for 4-way deterministic tile-sets the tiling problem is undecidable. As in the proof of undecidability of the classical tiling problem, a reduction from the *Turing machine halting problem* is used. More precisely, Lukkarila [24] constructed 4-way deterministic tile-sets T_L such that either $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ does not contain any plane and the size of all square subgrids of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ are uniformly bounded (this corresponds to the case when the Turing machine halts) or $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ contains planes and all planes of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ are aperiodic (this corresponds to the case when the Turing machine does not halt). In the second case, our proof of Theorem 9 can be adapted to show that the event structure $(\widetilde{W(T_L)}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ does not admit any regular nice labeling. In the first case, since all square grids of $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ have bounded size, the CAT(0) square complex $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}(T_L)}$ is Gromov-hyperbolic (see below) and we conjecture that in this case $(\widetilde{W(T_L)}_{\widetilde{v}}, \widetilde{o}^*)$ admits a regular nice labeling (see Conjecture 4).

7.2. **Hyperbolic domains.** As we noticed already, Conjecture 2 was positively solved by Badouel et al. [4] for context-free domains. We hope that Conjectures 1 and 2 have positive solutions for Gromov-hyperbolic domains that generalize context-free domains.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of uniformly bounded degree and v be an arbitrary root (basepoint) of G. Let $S_i = \{x \in V : d_G(v, x) = i\}$ denote the sphere of radius i centered at v. A connected component F of the subgraph of G induced by $V \setminus S_i(v)$ is called an *end* of G. The vertices of $F \cap S_{i+1}(v)$ are called *frontier points* and this set is denoted by $\Delta(F)$ [27] and called a *cluster*. Let $\Phi(G)$ denote the set of all ends of G, i.e., the connected subgraphs of $G(V \setminus S_i(v))$, when i ranges over the natural numbers. An *end-isomorphism* between two ends F and F' of G is a

mapping f between F and F' such that f is a graph isomorphism and f maps $\Delta(F)$ to $\Delta(F')$. Then G is called a *context-free graph* [27] if $\Phi(G)$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes under end-isomorphisms. Since G has uniformly bounded degree, each cluster $\Delta(F)$ is finite. Moreover, from the definition of context-free graphs follows that a context-free graph G has only finitely many isomorphism classes of clusters, thus there exists a constant $\delta < \infty$ such that the diameter of any cluster of G is bounded by δ. By [15, Proposition 12] any graph G whose diameters of clusters is uniformly bounded by δ is δ-hyperbolic (in fact, G is quasi-isometric to a tree). Since the Hasse diagrams of prime context-free event domains are median graphs (Theorem 7), this implies that the largest square grid isometrically embedded into such a domain has size $\delta \times \delta$. In particular, this implies that prime context-free domains do not contain isometrically embedded planes (which are the source of aperiodic planes). We conjecture that the results of [4] can be extended in the following way:

Conjecture 4. *Conjectures 1 and 2 are true for Gromov-hyperbolic prime event domains.*

In view of Conjecture 3 and the comments following it, Conjecture 4 is entirely nontrivial already in the case of prime 2-dimensional hyperbolic domains.

Without explicitly defining Gromov hyperbolicity (see [10] and [21]), notice that for median graphs G (and therefore for CAT(0) cube complexes) bounded Gromov hyperbolicity is equivalent to the fact that the isometrically embedded square grids have uniformly bounded size; see [15, Corollary 2] and [18]. It was shown in [19] that any Gromov-hyperbolic CAT(0) cube complex with uniformly bounded degree can be isometrically embedded into the Cartesian product of finitely many trees. Analogously to the nice labeling conjecture of [31], a similar result does not hold for general CAT(0) cube complexes of uniformly bounded degrees [16]. Moreover, modifying the arguments of [19] it can be shown that Gromov-hyperbolic CAT(0) cube complexes with uniformly bounded degrees admit finite nice labelings.

A *flat square grid* of side n (respectively, a *flat plane*) of a median graph G is an $n \times n$-grid H (respectively, $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$-grid) isometrically embedded in G such that any two squares of H sharing a common edge do not belong to a common 3-cube of G. We continue with a stronger version of Conjecture 4.

Conjecture 4′. *Conjectures 1 and 2 are true for prime event domains with uniformly bounded sizes of flat square grids.*

Note that if H is a flat square grid (or plane) of a median graph G, then H is a locally-convex subgraph of G, and by Lemma 1, H is a convex subgraph of G. This shows that if G contains a flat square grid of size n, then the graph $\Gamma_{\|}$ of the concurrent relation $\|$ contains an induced complete bipartite subgraph $K_{n,n}$. A first step to solve this question could be to consider prime event structures such that the graph $\Gamma_{\|}$ does not admit induced complete bipartite subgraphs $K_{n,n}$ with arbitrarily large n.

7.3. **Confusion-free domains.** As we noticed already, Conjecture 1 was positively solved by Nielsen and Thiagarajan [29] for conflict-free event structures. A possible way to generalize this result is to consider confusion-free domains.

Prime conflict-free event structures can be viewed as the event structures for which the minimal-conflict graph $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$ is edgeless, i.e., each event of $\mathcal{E}$ is a connected component of $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$. (Notice that conflict-free domains are not hyperbolic because they may contain $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for any n.) Therefore, one way to extend the result of [29] is to consider more complex minimal-conflict graphs $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$. One possible such extension is to consider the prime event structures whose minimal-conflict graphs $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$ are disjoint unions of cliques. Such event structures can be viewed as an extension of confusion-free event structures. An event structure $\mathcal{E}$ is *confusion-free* [28] if the reflexive closure of minimal conflict is transitive and $e\#_\mu e'$ implies $\downarrow e\backslash\{e\} = \downarrow e'\backslash\{e'\}$ (we use the definition from [38, Proposition 2.4]). From the first condition it follows that for a confusion-free event structure the graph $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$

is a disjoint union of cliques. Confusion-free event structures correspond to deterministic concrete data structures [22] and to confusion-free occurrence nets [28].

Question 1. *Do Conjectures 1 and 2 hold for confusion-free event structures? More generally, do they hold for event structures whose minimal-conflict graph $\Gamma_{\#_\mu}$ is a disjoint unions of cliques?*

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to P.S. Thiagarajan for some email exchanges on Conjecture 1 and paper [29] and to our colleague R. Morin for several useful discussions.

References

[1] F. Ardilla, M. Owen, and S. Sullivan, Geodesics in CAT(0) cubical complexes, Adv. Appl. Math. 48 (2012), 142–163.
[2] M.R. Assous, V. Bouchitté, C. Charretton, and B Rozoy, Finite labelling problem in event structures, Theor. Comput. Sci. 123 (1994) 9–19.
[3] S.P. Avann, Metric ternary distributive semi-lattices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 404–414.
[4] E. Badouel, P. Darondeau, and J.-C. Raoult, Context-free event domains are recognizable, Inf. Comput. 149 (1999), 134–172.
[5] H.-J. Bandelt and V. Chepoi, Metric graph theory and geometry: a survey, Surveys on Discrete and Computational Geometry: Twenty Years Later (J. E. Goodman, J. Pach, and R. Pollack, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 453, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 49–86.
[6] H.-J. Bandelt and J. Hedlíková, Median algebras, Discr. Math. 45 (1983), 1–30.
[7] H.-J. Bandelt and M. van de Vel, Embedding topological median algebras in products of dendrons, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 58 (1989), 439–453.
[8] J.-P. Barthélemy and J. Constantin, Median graphs, parallelism and posets, Discr. Math., 111 (1993), 49–63.
[9] M. Bednarczyk, Categories of Asynchronous Systems, PhD Thesis, University of Sussex, 1987.
[10] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften vol. 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
[11] J. P. Burling, On coloring problems of prototypes, PhD Thesis, Univ. of Colorado, 1965.
[12] M. Burger and S. Mozes, Lattices in products of trees, IHES Publ. Math. 92 (2001), 151–194.
[13] V. Chepoi, Graphs of some CAT(0) complexes, Adv. Appl. Math. 24 (2000), 125–179.
[14] V. Chepoi, Nice labeling problem for event structures: a counterexample, SIAM J. Comput. 41 (2012), 715–727.
[15] V. Chepoi, F. Dragan, B. Estellon, M. Habib, and Y. Vaxès, Diameters, centers, and approximating trees of δ-hyperbolic geodesic spaces and graphs, Symposium on Computational Geometry, SoCG'2008, pp.59–68.
[16] V. Chepoi and M. Hagen, On embeddings of CAT(0) cube complexes into products of trees via colouring their hyperplanes, J. Combin. Th. Ser B, 103 (2013), 428–467.
[17] D.Ž. Djoković, Distance-preserving subgraphs of hypercubes, J. Combin. Th. Ser. B 14 (1973), 263–267.
[18] M.F. Hagen, Weak hyperbolicity of cube complexes and quasi-arboreal groups, J. Topology 7 (2014), 385–418.
[19] F. Haglund, Aspects combinatoires de la théorie géométrique des groupes. Mémoire HDR U. Paris Sud, 2008.
[20] A. Hatcher, Algebraic Topology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[21] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic Groups, Essays in group theory (S.M. Gersten ed.), MSRI Series, 8 (1987) pp. 75–263.
[22] G. Kahn and G.D. Plotkin, Concrete domains, Theor. Comput. Sci., 121 (1993), 187–277.
[23] J. Kari and P. Papasoglu, Deterministic aperiodic tile sets, GAFA, Geom. Funct. Anal., 9 (1999), 353–369.
[24] V. Lukkarila, The 4-way deterministic tiling problem is undecidable, Theor. Comput. Sci., 410 (2009), 1516–1533.
[25] R. Morin, Concurrent automata vs. asynchronous systems, MFCS 2005, LNCS 3618, pp. 686–698.
[26] H.M. Mulder, The Interval Function of a Graph, Math. Centre Tracts vol. 132, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsteredam 1980.
[27] D. Müller and P. Schupp, The theory of ends, pushdown automata, and second order logic, Theor. Comput. Sci. 37 (1985), 51–75.
[28] M. Nielsen, G. Plotkin, and G. Winskel, Petri nets, event structures and domains I, Theor. Comput. Sci. 13 (1981), 85–108.
[29] M. Nielsen and P. S. Thiagarajan, Regular event structures and finite Petri nets: the conflict-free case, ICATPN 2002, pp. 335–351.
[30] M. Nielsen, G. Rozenberg, and P. S. Thiagarajan, Transition systems, event structures, and unfoldings, Inf. Comput. 118 (1995), 191–207.
[31] B. Rozoy and P.S. Thiagarajan, Event structures and trace monoids, Theor. Comput. Sci., 91 (1991), 285–313.
[32] M. Roller, Poc sets, median algebras and group actions, Univ. of Southampton, preprint, 1998.
[33] M. Sageev, Ends of group pairs and non-positively curved cube complexes, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 71 (1995), 585–617.

[34] M. Sageev, CAT(0) cube complexes and groups, Geometric Group Theory (M. Bestvina, M. Sageev, and K. Vogtmann, eds.), IAS/ Park City Math Series, vol. 21, AMS, Institute for Advanced Study, 2012, pp. 6–53.
[35] E.W. Stark, Connections between a concrete and an abstract model of concurrent systems, In 5th Mathematical Foundations of Programming Semantics, pp. 53–79, 1989.
[36] P.S. Thiagarajan, Regular trace event structures, Technical Report, BRICS RS-96-32, Computer Science Department, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark (1996).
[37] P. S. Thiagarajan, Regular event structures and finite Petri nets: a conjecture, Formal and Natural Computing 2002, pp. 244–256.
[38] D. Varacca, H. Völzer, and G. Winskel, Probabilistic event structures and domains, Theor. Comput. Sci., 358 (2006), 173–199.
[39] P.M. Winkler, Isometric embedding in the product of complete graphs, Discr. Appl. Math. 7 (1984), 221–225.
[40] G. Winskel, Events in computation, Ph.D. Thesis, Edinburgh Univ., 1980.
[41] G. Winskel, An introduction to event structures, REX Workshop 1988, 364–397.
[42] G. Winskel and M. Nielsen, Models for concurrency, Handbook of Logic in Computer Science, Oxford Univ. Press, 1995, vol. 4, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., pp. 1–148.
[43] D.T. Wise, Non-positively curved squared complexes, aperiodic tilings, and non-residually finite groups, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 71 pp., 1996.
[44] D.T. Wise, Complete square complexes, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007), 683–724.
[45] D. Wise, From riches to RAAGs: 3-manifolds, right-angled Artin groups, and cubical geometry, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 2012.